1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	
7	CARLVIN JUSTICE
8	Plaintiff, No. C 05-4474 PJH
9	V. ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND
10	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF RECOMMENDATION AND CALIFORNIA et al. REMANDING CASE
11	
12	Defendants/
13	The court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Laporte's Report and Recommendation Res
14	Remand, as well as plaintiff's objections to the report. The court finds the Report correct, well-
15	reasoned and thorough, and adopts it in every respect.
16	Althoughplaintiff has filed objections to the Report, those objections are incomprehensible,
17	and fail to identify any legal grounds upon which the Report should be rejected, or upon which
18	federal jurisdiction may be invoked.
19	Accordingly, the case is REMANDED to Alameda Superior Court.
2021	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	Dated: December 15, 2005
24	
25	PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge
26	Simod States Bistrict dauge
27	
28	cc: Wings, Assigned M/J, counsel of record